

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,773	10/30/2003	Tomoe Miyazawa	117641	6898
25944	7590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			PERT, EVAN T	
	IA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,773	MIYAZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Evan Pert	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 13-25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0304.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/695,773 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The specification contains informalities throughout, including sentences that are not proper grammatical English (e.g. [0002]) and portions of the text where letters are inadvertently replaced with blanks, such as the letter "e" starting at p. 4 of [0004], continuing in places like [0011], [0017], through to [0032].

While many of the informalities are seemingly a result of poor scanning and/or faxing, a substitute specification is none-the-less required in order to place the specification in suitable form for publishing as a quality patent.

Correction is required.

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to (as well as the depending claims), because the preamble is missing the "e" from "use." Appropriate correction is required.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-12 are objected to because "use" reads "us" as filed, but are otherwise allowable.
- 4. Claims 13-25 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose the "essential" part of applicant's cleaning formulation as claimed, and therefore does not disclose use of the formulation for semiconductor wafer cleaning.

Applicant's invention can be distinguished from prior art, in its various aspects, by a "formulation" containing both "ethylene urea" and "maleic acid." The examiner emphasizes that the preamble to claim 1 is given significant patentable weight in that the mere co-existence of maleic acid and ethylene urea in a "formulation" does not fall within the scope of claim 1 unless the formulation is suitable for semiconductor wafer cleaning [see MPEP 2111.02 wherein, in *this* case, the preamble gives meaning to the scope of a formulation containing maleic acid and ethylene urea].

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references cited disclose various cleaning formulations for wafers, addressing cleaning of low-k dielectrics with copper interconnects, yet none disclose a formulation that includes "maleic acid" and "ethylene urea."

Also included in the references cited are Material Safety Data Sheets for each of "maleic acid" and "ethylene urea," wherein synonyms of these chemicals identified in the data sheets were included in search strategies of the claimed invention.

7. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Art Unit: 2826

Submission of substitute specification in accordance with item 1 above, including corrected claim 1 in accordance with item 2 above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan Pert whose telephone number is 571-272-1969. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM-3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EVAN PERT PRIMARY EXAMINER

ETP March 5, 2005